arXiv:1608.01253v1 [gr-qc] 3 Aug 2016

Spherical symmetric parabolic dust collapse: $\mathcal{C}^1$ matching metric with zero intrinsic energy

Ramon Lapiedra[1] and Juan Antonio Morales-Lladosa[1]

August 4, 2016

Abstract

The collapse of pressureless (dust) and non-homogeneous matter is analyzed under the following additional assumptions: spherical symmetry and existence of a spatially flat foliation of comoving 3-spaces (marginally bound collapse). The starting point is not, in this case, the integration of the Einstein equations from some suitable initial conditions. Instead, starting from the corresponding general exact solution of these equations, depending on two arbitrary functions of the radial coordinate, the fulfillment of the Lichnerowicz matching conditions of the interior collapsing metric and the exterior Schwarzschild one is ensured: the continuity of the metric and its first derivatives on the time-like hypersurface describing the evolution of the spherical 2-surface boundary of the collapsing cloud. The whole analytical family of resulting models is obtained and some of them are picked out as physical better models on the basis of the finite and stationary value of its *intrinsic* energy.

[1] Departament d'Astronomia i Astrofísica, Universitat de València, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain. Observatori Astronòmic, Universitat de València, E-46980 Paterna, València, Spain.
E-mails: ramon.lapiedra@uv.es antonio.morales@uv.es

1 Introduction: general considerations

The subject of this paper is to deal with the implications of reasonable and well motivated simplifying assumptions in modelling relativistic gravitational collapse scenarios of dust matter in a spherically symmetric spacetime. As it is generally done in General Relativity, we assume that the metric of the differentiable manifold of the theory is $\mathcal{C}^1$ class and we will let this assumption to play a central role in the present work by imposing when necessary the corresponding matching Lichnerowicz conditions [1]. In this context, the novel assumptions we consider and analyze, and whose correctness we verify, are:

1. Out of the intrinsic singularity, there exists a global Gauss coordinate system, adapted to the symmetry and to the dust, in which the metric is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, spatially flat and asymptotically Minkowskian.

2. We require the resulting collapsing models to have *intrinsic* vanishing energy. Then, additional sound requirements lead finally to a particular simple physical situation.

More specifically, the interior dust region and the exterior Schwarzschild one should be smoothly matched via the fulfillment of the mentioned Lichnerowicz matching conditions [1] in the above Gauss coordinates, which are both (i) comoving with the dust at the interior region and (ii) adapted every where to the spherical symmetry and to free-falling observers at rest at the spacial infinity (parabolic or marginally bound collapse situation). Contrarily, in the above Gauss coordinates satisfying (i) and (ii), which will be called *intrinsic* coordinates (see Sec. 6), the well known Oppenheimer-Snyder metric [2] does not satisfy neither the Lichnerowicz matching conditions, nor the vanishing of the *intrinsic* energy. That is, as we will see in Sec. 4, the coordinate system in which the Oppenheimer-Snyder collapsing metric is expressed is neither admissible (in the Lichnerowicz sense [1]) nor *intrinsic*. This makes it an unplausible metric solution from a mathematical and physical point of view, irrespective of its rough assumption of a vanishing pressure.

Furthermore, we and other authors have elsewhere argued [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] that universes having zero *intrinsic* energy (and zero linear and angular

momenta) are good candidates to be quantically created from vacuum fluctuations, according to the original Fomin and Tryon suggestions. [8, 9]

In their pioneering work, Oppenheimer and Snyder [2] studied qualitatively the main issues concerning gravitational collapse scenarios, and they constructed an exact spherically symmetric collapse model of a pressureless homogeneous matter with parabolic (also called marginally bound) evolution. Parabolic evolution means that this Oppenheimer-Snyder model was adapted to a Gauss congruence of observers, comoving with the homogeneous dust fluid, whose simultaneous 3-surfaces of proper constant time are flat (the induced 3-metric has a vanishing Ricci tensor). The interior region is described by the spatially flat Einstein-de Sitter metric and the exterior one by the Schwarzschild solution, both expressed in Gauss coordinates.[1] This collapse situation, as well as its inhomogeneous counterpart, although not being quite realistic, are good theoretical models due to their simplicity and provide important information when they are taken as a test-bed in Numerical Relativity [12].

Therefore, it seems very suitable to extend the Oppenheimer-Snyder spherical marginal bound situation to include an inhomogeneous dust allowing radial non trivial dependence of the proper energy density. Thus, in the present paper we deal with an inhomogeneous generalization of the result in Ref. [2] *without imposing any particular initial conditions*. However, we impose in an admissible coordinate system the Lichnerowicz matching conditions. [1] Thus, the corresponding inner and outer solution are consistently matched on a timelike 3-surface describing the evolution of the star 2-surface collapse. As far as we know, such an study, involving non homogeneous density and matching Lichnerowicz conditions, has never been

[1] Otherwise, the spherically symmetric homogeneous dust collapse described by a closed Friedmann metric matched with the exterior Schwarzschild solution is extensively analyzed in a lot of General Relativity text books (see, for instance, Refs. [10, 11]). Sometimes, this collapse has been called "Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse", though perhaps this name should be better reserved for the "marginally bound homogeneous" case considered in Ref. [2] (and appropriately termed in this manner in Refs. [12, 13]). Currently, after the English translation of the work by Datt [14] (see also Refs. [15, 16]), the extended appellation "Oppenheimer-Snyder-Datt collapse" is also in use, [17, 18] although Ref. [14] exclusively concerns the exact integration of the Einstein equations in some special cases, but not its potential application to gravitational collapse scenarios.

performed, at least in all the literature quoted in the present paper.

Of course, a lot of work has been carried out in order to obtain exact analytical models for a perfect fluid stellar collapse and to analyze its related issues concerning singularities, event horizons and trapped surfaces (for a vast bibliography on the subject see, for instance, Refs. [17, 19, 20]). This extensive work may be considered as an extension of the Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse by including density inhomogeneities and pressure contributions, and has been mainly focussed on the role played by the initial conditions and the subsequent collapsing evolution. Nevertheless, here, keeping on the specific case of a marginally bound spherically symmetric dust collapse, we will exhaustively analyze, as remarked above, the role played by the boundary conditions imposed on the interior-exterior matching surface without imposing initial conditions. For other physical scenarios concerning different matching conditions in spherical symmetric geometries see, for instance, Ref. [21].

The paper obeys the following structure. First, in Sec. 2 we start from the known expression for the LTB (Lemaître [22], Tolman [23], Bondi [24]) family of exact solutions in Gauss comoving coordinates adapted to the spherical symmetry, in the marginal bound case (i. e. when the three-space curvature tensor vanishes), from which one recovers the Schwarzschild metric as a particular case. In Sec. 3 we construct a family of solutions of the Einstein equations that is of $\mathcal{C}^1$ class over its whole domain and that describes a collapsing inhomogeneous dust fluid matched to the Schwarzschild exterior written in the Gauss coordinate system introduced in Sec. 2, and obeying the marginally bound condition. The homogeneous Oppenheimer-Snyder dust collapse [2] situation is revisited in Sec. 4, and then, this important model is contrasted with the generic matching model presented in Sec. 3. Then, for the sake of clarity, in Sec. 5 a *truncated* one-parametric matching metric family is introduced in order to examine the minimal set of additional physical requirements allowing to fix this parameter. In Sec. 6 we show that this parameter should be naturally determined by requiring that the *intrinsic* energy of the whole matching solution vanishes. In the ending Sec. 7 we briefly discuss and comment on the obtained results. An appendix presents the mathematics concerning the application of the Lichnerowicz matching conditions [1] to the generic dust collapse analyzed in

Sec. 3.

We will take units in which $c = 1$ for the speed of light, and $G = 1$ for the gravitational constant. The metric signature will be $+2$.

A short communication containing some results, without proof, of this work has been exposed at the Spanish Relativity Meeting ERE-2015.

2 Schwarzschild metric in suitable Gauss coordinates

Let it be the Schwarzschild metric in standard static coordinates:

$$g_{_S} = -\Big(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\Big)dt \otimes dt + \frac{dr \otimes dr}{1 - \dfrac{2m}{r}} + r^2 h, \tag{1}$$

with $h = d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi \otimes d\phi$ the metric on the unit 2-sphere, and m the source mass parameter. Let us change to the new coordinates (τ, ρ) given implicitly by

$$\tau(t, r) = t - 2m\Big[2\sqrt{\frac{r}{2m}} + \ln\Big|\frac{\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{2m}}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{2m}}\Big|\Big], \tag{2}$$

$$r(\tau, \rho) = \Big[\frac{9}{2}m\Big(\tau - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}\Big)^2\Big]^{1/3}. \tag{3}$$

In the new coordinates still adapted to the spherical symmetry of the metric (1) we have:

$$g_{_S} = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + \frac{\rho}{r}d\rho \otimes d\rho + r^2 h, \tag{4}$$

which is a regular extension of the Schwarzschild metric (1) to the region $r \in (0, +\infty)$, describing the black hole region with its horizon, $r \in (0, 2m]$, and its exterior $r > 2m$.

The above metric form (4) of the Schwarzschild solution has been considered in Refs. [2, 4, 25, 26]. It is written in Gauss coordinates, that is, the metric components g_{00} and g_{0i} $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are $g_{00} = -1$, $g_{0i} = 0$, and

they become asymptotically at rest coordinates for $\rho \to \infty$, since then we have $\rho/r \sim 1 + \sqrt{2m}\,\tau\rho^{-3/2} \to 1$, for any given τ value. Furthermore, they are regular coordinates everywhere, except for the essential singularity $r = 0$ and, with the appropriate coordinate transformation, they become asymptotically rectilinear at the three-space infinity. The metric form (4) is a very suitable one for ascribing an *intrinsic* energy to the space-time metric describing a marginally bound gravitational collapse (see Sec. 6).[2] This Schwarzschild metric is a particular case of the metric, solution of the Einstein equations (see, for instance, Refs. [15, 16]):

$$g = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + A'^2 d\rho \otimes d\rho + A^2 h, \tag{5}$$

where $A' \equiv \partial_\rho A$, and $A \equiv A(\tau, \rho)$ is given by

$$A(\tau, \rho) = \Big\{\frac{9}{2} M(\rho)[\tau - \psi(\rho)]^2\Big\}^{1/3}, \tag{6}$$

with $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ arbitrary functions of ρ, $0 \leq \rho < \infty$. The time coordinate domain is $-\infty < \tau < \psi(\rho)$. In particular, taking

$$M(\rho) = const. = m > 0, \quad \psi(\rho) = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, \tag{7}$$

we recover (4), with $A(\tau, \rho) = r$ given by Eq. (3). Let us consider in this particular case the times τ_h and τ_s defined respectively by $A(\tau_h, \rho) = 2m$ and $A(\tau_s, \rho) = 0$ (with $\tau_s > \tau_h$), that is

$$\tau_h = \tau_s - \frac{4m}{3}, \qquad \tau_s = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}. \tag{8}$$

A free falling observer whose world line is given by constant ρ, θ and ϕ reaches the event horizon $r = 2m$ at proper time τ_h, and the essential singularity $r = 0$ at $\tau_s > \tau_h$.

[2] Another appropriated and well known Gauss coordinate system for the Schwarzschild space-time is the one introduced by Novikov [27] (see also Ref. [10]). In this case, the three-spaces $\tau = constant$ are not flat, and then, in dealing with a marginally bound collapse scenario, the associated Novikov metric form is not as suitable as the metric form (4).

The metric (5) involving two arbitrary functions of ρ, $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$, is sourced by a pressureless (dust) matter content whose stress energy tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \mu(\tau, \rho) u^{\alpha} u^{\beta}, \tag{9}$$

with $\mu(\tau, \rho)$ the proper dust density function, which is given by:

$$4\pi\mu = \frac{M'}{A^2 A'} \tag{10}$$

(see again Refs. [15, 16] for a brief account concerning the related whole family of exact solutions). Notice that the above $(\tau, \rho, \theta, \phi)$ Gauss coordinates are synchronous, comoving with the source dust and are adapted to the present spherical symmetry, that is, in particular, $u^{\alpha} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$.

Function $M(\rho)$ can be seen to be the total mass inside the radius ρ, and $\psi(\rho)$ represents the proper time when the essential singularity $A(\tau, \rho) = 0$ takes place. More precisely, let it be a radially falling observer at constant radial comoving coordinate ρ from the center $\rho = 0$; then its world line meets the essential singularity at the proper time $\tau_s = \psi(\rho)$.

Of course, a gauge freedom remains: the radial coordinate changes $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}(\rho)$ leaving invariant the metric form (5). Then, one of the free functions $M(\rho)$ or $\psi(\rho)$ may be equalled to an arbitrarily prescribed function of ρ. However, this procedure may be too restrictive to construct a general collapse model, and we do not consider this prescription here. Actually, as we will see below in detail, for a collapse model, the required matching conditions constrain the values that functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$, and their derivatives, can take on the matching surface. This is a crucial consideration for the interpretation of our results: in our case, the set of conditions that $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ must fulfill are required by the accomplishment of the *Lichnerowicz matching* conditions [1] (the matching of the interior space-time metric, describing the collapse, and the Schwarzschild exterior). In fact, starting from scratch with a particular form of $M(\rho)$ or $\psi(\rho)$ could produce metric discontinuities across the junction surface. We will come back to this point below (see Sec. 4) in connection with the familiar prescription of the function $\psi(\rho)$ usually taken for marginally bound homogeneous dust collapse (see, for instance, Refs. [2, 12]).

Metric (5) is a subclass of the LTB family of dust solutions, where the abbreviation comes from the original works by Lemaître [22], Tolman [23] and Bondi [24]. This subclass is the most general spherical symmetric dust solution with flat synchronous three-spaces given by $\tau = constant$.[3]

3 Generic parabolic matching model for inhomogeneous dust collapse

Assume, as it is generally done, that the differentiable manifold, V_4, of General Relativity, is of $\mathcal{C}^2$ class and that the gravitational potentials are of $\mathcal{C}^1$ class, i.e., the metric first derivatives are locally continuous functions, that is to say, are continuous everywhere the coordinate system used exists. Can we match the Schwarzschild exterior metric form (4) with an interior one represented by (5) with $A(\tau, \rho)$ given by (6)? We will see that such is the case.[4]

To begin with, since ρ in (4) is a comoving radial 3-space coordinate, everywhere out of $r = 0$, let it be $\rho = const. \equiv \lambda$, the value of this coordinate, and let it be the time τ, for the corresponding 2-surface separating the outside region from the inside one. Then, in accordance with the general Lichnerowicz prescription, [1] expressing in this case the assumed

[3]In fact, in the case of the Datt metric $g_{_D}$ (see Ref. [14, 15, 16]) the synchronous three-spaces $\tau = constant$ are non-flat. Moreover, these 3-spaces are the level surfaces of the A function of this solution because it is a function of the sole proper time τ, $A(\tau)$. This means that the gradient dA is everywhere timelike, $g_{_D}(dA, dA) < 0$, on the whole metric domain (which is a T-region, [27] i. e, the Datt function A defines a timelike gradient coordinate according to the parlance used in Refs. [28, 29]).

[4]Notice that, according to Ref. [1], these differentiability requirements on the gravitational potentials are manifestly compatible with the differential structure of V_4 if, in addition, one requires that the Hessian matrix components of the admissible coordinate transformations are functions of $\mathcal{C}^2$ class "by pieces" (or, "par morceaux" according to the nomenclature used in Ref. [1]). This condition ensures that, under coordinates changes whose third and fourth derivatives could present some Hadamard discontinuities (see Ref. [30] p. 85, and Eq. (21) next), the $\mathcal{C}^1$ differential character of the metric components remains invariant. Nevertheless, the second derivatives of the metric could present some Hadamard discontinuities (the metric first derivatives being at last of $\mathcal{C}^2$ class "par morceaux").

$\mathcal{C}^1$ character of the metric, the 3-space metric components g_{ij} ($g_{00} = -1$, $g_{0i} = 0$, everywhere out of $r = 0$), and their first derivatives, have to be continuous across $\rho = \lambda$, in order to consistently match both solutions, the exterior Schwarzschild metric with a particular interior LTB solution (Eq. (5)) driven by a pressureless matter, i.e., by dust.

By derivation of (6) with respect to τ and ρ, the following expressions result:

$$\dot{A} = \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}}, \tag{11}$$

$$A' = \frac{1}{3}\frac{M'}{M}A - \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}}\,\psi', \tag{12}$$

with $\varepsilon \equiv sgn[\tau - \psi(\rho)]$ describing, respectively, an expanding ($\dot{A} > 0$) or contracting ($\dot{A} < 0$) configuration when $\varepsilon = +1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$. Then, taking into account that $A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\tau, \rho) = \varepsilon\,\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2M(\rho)}\,[\tau - \psi(\rho)]$, the events $(\tau, \rho, \theta, \phi)$ where A' vanishes display generically a 3-surface given by the relation:

$$A'(\tau, \rho) = 0 \iff \tau(\rho) = \psi(\rho) + 2\frac{M(\rho)}{M'(\rho)}\psi'(\rho) \tag{13}$$

and arbitrary (θ, ϕ). This relation can be interpreted as follows. Assuming that $M(\rho)$ is a non-negative function, the proper time $\tau(\rho)$ at which A' vanishes will be hidden under the essential singularity at $A = 0$ (that is $\tau(\rho) > \psi(\rho)$) if, and only if, $M'(\rho)$ and $\psi'(\rho)$ have equal sign, i.e. if they are both increasing or decreasing functions.

Then, for a gravitational collapse ($\varepsilon = -1$) the reader can easily verify that this matching can be achieved with the following values of the functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$:

$$M(\rho) = \begin{cases} m + \displaystyle\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} M_k(1 - \frac{\rho}{\lambda})^k, & \rho \leq \lambda \\ m, & \rho \geq \lambda \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\lambda^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2m}}(\dfrac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2} + 2\dfrac{\rho}{\lambda} - \dfrac{1}{3}) + \displaystyle\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \psi_k(1 - \frac{\rho}{\lambda})^k, \rho \leq \lambda \\ \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, \quad \rho \geq \lambda \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

giving what we call the "generic matching metric" (see Appendix A), where the constant coefficients M_k and ψ_k, otherwise arbitrary, have to ensure the convergence of the corresponding series, and will be constrained by the remaining physical requirements imposed on the model (see Sec. 5).

Functions (14) and (15) form a broad class of analytic solutions for our matching problem.[5] This solution, for $\rho \geq \lambda$, reproduces the exterior Schwarzschild metric in the coordinates of (4) with $r \equiv r(\tau, \rho)$ given by (3). Obviously, any of these solutions could also be obtained by integrating the Einstein field equations with the corresponding initial conditions $A(\tau_i, \rho)$, $\dot{A}(\tau_i, \rho)$, τ_i standing for the initial time $\tau_i - \psi(0) < 0$. The particular values of the expressions $A(\tau_i, \rho)$, $\dot{A}(\tau_i, \rho)$, would be obtained substituting in (6) and (11), respectively, the corresponding values of M and ψ given by (14), (15), which insures the regular character of these initial conditions.

It is important to stress that, in accordance with the general result in Ref. [31], the *Darmois matching* conditions [32] (continuity of the induced metric and the extrinsic curvature across the matching Σ surface $\rho = \lambda$) are also fulfilled by the space-time metric (5) no matter how the $A(\tau, \rho)$ function given by (6) may be, provided that A be continuous across the matching surface Σ. In fact, the induced metric, γ, and the extrinsic curvature, K, of a time-like three-surface defined by $\rho = constant$ are given by

$$\gamma = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + A^2(\tau, \rho)h, \quad K = -A(\tau, \rho)h. \tag{16}$$

Then, the continuity of A across the matching surface Σ, expressed like $[A]_{\Sigma} = 0$, ensures the continuity of both γ and K across Σ, that is, in the same notation, $[\gamma]_{\Sigma} = [K]_{\Sigma} = 0$ are indeed fulfilled.

For $\tau = 0$ and for all $\rho \geq \lambda$, the substitution of (14) and (15) into (6) and (11) leads to $A(0, \rho) = \rho$ and $\dot{A}(0, \rho) = -\sqrt{\frac{2m}{\rho}}$. These are then the initial conditions corresponding to our "generic matching metric" obtained from (14) and (15), for $\rho \geq \lambda$. In fact, this last initial condition says that, at each

[5]Note that the required matching conditions are also satisfied by taking for $\psi(\rho)$ the generalization of the expression (7) we have chosen for the Schwarzschild metric, that is, $\psi(\rho) \to \widetilde{\psi}(\rho) \equiv \frac{2}{3}\frac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2M(\rho)}}$ for all $\rho > 0$, with $M(\rho)$ given by (14). This means that for $\rho \leq \lambda$ the general family of $\psi(\rho)$ functions obeying the matching requirement is a weighted linear combination $a\psi(\rho) + (1-a)\widetilde{\psi}(\rho)$ with $\psi(\rho)$ given by (15), and $a \in [0, 1]$.

$\rho \geq \lambda$, the speed of the infalling observer is equal to the Newtonian escape velocity. But, such initial values are not always satisfied for $\rho < \lambda$ in our model (14) and (15) due to the fact that this model has been constructed by requiring the accomplishment of the Lichnerowicz matching conditions.

This is a characteristic of the present models, making them different from others models considered in the literature which imposes that, under a global radial coordinate choice, the above initial conditions, $A(0,\rho) = \rho$ and $\dot{A}(0,\rho) = -\sqrt{\frac{2M(\rho)}{\rho}}$ be satisfied for every value of $\rho \in (0,\infty)$ (cf. Refs. [17, 19]).

4 Oppenheimer-Snyder homogeneous dust collapse

In Ref. [2], the marginally dust homogeneous spherically symmetric collapse was considered by expressing the functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ (after a change in notation) in the following manner:

$$M_{\text{OS}}(\rho) = \begin{cases} m\big(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\big)^3, & \rho \leq \lambda \\ m, & \rho \geq \lambda \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\psi_{\text{OS}}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, & \rho \leq \lambda \\ \\ \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, & \rho \geq \lambda, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where we use the OS abbreviation for Oppenheimer and Snyder [2]. In this case, the areal radial metric function $A(\tau,\rho)$ is

$$A_{\text{OS}}(\tau,\rho) = \begin{cases} \big(\dfrac{9m}{2}\big)^{1/3}\dfrac{\rho}{\lambda}\big(\tau - \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}\big)^{2/3}, & \rho \leq \lambda \\ \\ \big(\dfrac{9m}{2}\big)^{1/3}\big(\tau - \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}\big)^{2/3}, & \rho \geq \lambda. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

As it has been stressed at Sec. 3, about Eq. (16), the *Darmois matching* conditions are also fulfilled in the present case of an homogeneous (see next Eq. (24)) collapse, whose space-time metric is (5) with $A(\tau,\rho)$ given by (19). This is so since $[A_{\rm OS}]_\Sigma = 0$. However $[A'_{\rm OS}]_\Sigma \neq 0$, because

$$[A'_{\rm OS}]_\Sigma = -\frac{q}{\lambda}\,\frac{\tau}{(\tau-\tau_c)^{1/3}}, \tag{20}$$

where for a given function $X(\tau,\rho)$, its Hadamard discontinuity [30], $[X]_\Sigma$, across the matching Σ surface $\rho=\lambda$, is defined by

$$[X]_\Sigma = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} X(\tau,\lambda+\epsilon) - \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} X(\tau,\lambda-\epsilon), \tag{21}$$

and, where the notation

$$q \equiv \Big(\frac{9m}{2}\Big)^{1/3}, \quad \tau_c \equiv \frac{2}{3}\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, \tag{22}$$

will be used from now on, τ_c being the time when the "simultaneous" essential singularity $A_{\rm OS}(\tau_s,\rho)=0$ occurs for every dust comoving non-central ($\rho\neq 0$) observer.

Then, the metric component $g_{\rho\rho} = A'^{\,2}$ is discontinuous across Σ,

$$[A'^2_{\rm OS}]_\Sigma = -\frac{q^2}{\lambda^2}\,\frac{\tau(\tau-2\tau_c)}{(\tau-\tau_c)^{2/3}}. \tag{23}$$

Consequently, the natural differentiability axioms [1] requiring that the gravitational potentials $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$ be functions of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ (i. e. continuously differentiable functions of the admissible coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$) are not fulfilled in this case (see Figure 1).

Of course, since the Oppenheimer-Snyder metric fulfills the matching Darmois conditions through Σ, there are local Gauss coordinate systems built from Σ where the matching Lichnerowicz conditions of this metric are fulfilled too. This is actually the specific meaning, referred to the present case, of the general result proved in Ref. [31], that under some differentiable requirements, fulfilled in our case, Darmois and Lichnerowicz conditions are "equivalent". However, these local coordinates will not be anymore *intrinsic* coordinates as they are in the case of the general metrics of Sec. 3.



Figure 1: $M_{\rm OS}(\rho)$ (top) and $\psi_{\rm OS}(\rho)$ (bottom) functions describing the Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse. Their first derivative are discontinuous across the matching surface $\{\Sigma : \rho = \lambda\}$, implying that $A'_{\rm OS}$ is also discontinuous across Σ, $[A'_{\rm OS}]_\Sigma \neq 0$. Normalized variable, $\frac{\rho}{\lambda}$, and normalized functions, $\frac{M}{m} \equiv \frac{M_{\rm OS}}{m}$ and $\Psi \equiv \frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\lambda^{3/2}}\psi_{\rm OS}$, are used.

These *intrinsic* coordinates are the appropriate ones to calculate the *intrinsic* energy of the metric considered (see Sec. 6). We want to calculate this *intrinsic* energy, for the metrics of the present paper, in order to discriminate those of these metrics that would be physical (the ones with a constant and finite *intrinsic* energy), from the remaining ones assumed unphysical (the ones with a time dependent *intrinsic* energy, that even more could be infinite).

Substituting (17) and (19) for $\rho < \lambda$ in (10), the dust density becomes homogeneous in this case:

$$\mu_{\text{OS}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{6\pi(\tau - \tau_c)^2}, \tag{24}$$

and approaches to infinite when τ goes to τ_c. The null 3-surface (horizon) defined by the equation $g(dA_{\text{OS}}, dA_{\text{OS}}) = 0$, that is equivalent to the algebraic condition $A_{\text{OS}}(\tau, \rho) = 2M_{\text{OS}}(\rho)$, is reached by the comoving observers at the time $\tau_h(\rho) < \tau_s$ given by

$$\tau_h(\rho) = \tau_c - \frac{4m}{3}\Big(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\Big)^3 \tag{25}$$

for $\rho \leq \lambda$, while for $\rho \geq \lambda$ we must write (8) instead of (25). Notice that the "central observer" world-line ($\rho = 0$ and variable τ) belongs to the essential singularity because from Eq. (19) for $\rho \leq \lambda$, $A_{\text{OS}}(\tau, 0) = 0$ for any finite value of τ.

5 Truncated matching metric for inhomogeneous dust collapse

In this section we construct a *truncated* solution for a dust collapse satisfying the natural differentiability and matching requirements, according with the prescriptions considered in the Sec. 3, and then, we contrast its main characteristics with the more familiar ones founded in the literature on the subject. Such a model is defined by the following specification of the

free functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$,

$$M(\rho) = \begin{cases} m - \alpha\Big(1 - \dfrac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}\Big)^3, & \rho \leq \lambda \\ m, & \rho \geq \lambda \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\lambda^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2m}}(\dfrac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2} + 2\dfrac{\rho}{\lambda} - \dfrac{1}{3}), & \rho \leq \lambda \\ \dfrac{2}{3}\dfrac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}, & \rho \geq \lambda, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

and where α is a constant to be determined from additional physical requirements on the model (see next section). Notice that Eq. (26) is a special polynomial case that follows from the general development Eq. (14) by considering a particular election of the coefficients M_k. Moreover, the fact that Eq. (26) only contains even potential exponents, ensures that $M(\rho)$ has also zero first derivative at the center $\rho = 0$, according with the regularity conditions imposed in collapse scenarios (see, for instance, Refs. [17, 19], and Figure 2 in Sec. 6).

These functions, $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ satisfy

$$[M]_\Sigma = [M']_\Sigma = [M'']_\Sigma = [\psi]_\Sigma = [\psi']_\Sigma = [\psi'']_\Sigma = 0, \tag{28}$$

ensuring the fulfillment of the Lichnerowicz matching conditions (45), according with the results displayed in the Appendix A.

For this truncated model, the corresponding "truncated matching metric" has an essential singularity $A(\tau, \rho) = 0$ which is "non-simultaneous" for the dust comoving observers since it occurs at different proper time values, $\tau_s(\rho) = \psi(\rho)$, for different observers, that is,

$$\tau_s(\rho) = \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2m}}\Big(\frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2} + 2\frac{\rho}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{3}\Big), \tag{29}$$

the density μ given by (10) becoming infinite at this time.

A natural physical requirement is to take $M(\rho) \geq 0$ and $M'(\rho) > 0$ for $\rho \neq 0$. This is accomplished by taking $\xi \in (0, 1]$, where $\xi \equiv \alpha/m$. Then,

taking into account that in (27) $\psi(\rho)$ is an increasing function, $\psi'(\rho) > 0$, one gets from (13) that $A'(\tau, \rho)$ can only vanish for $\tau(\rho) \geq \psi(\rho)$. Then, the points (τ, ρ) where A' vanishes, if any, are hidden by the essential singularity $A = 0$.

The function $A(\tau, \rho)$ giving the metric (5) for the model is directly obtained from (26) and (27). Then, for $\rho \geq \lambda$, the function $A(\tau, \rho)$ reduces to $A(\tau, \rho) = r(\tau, \rho)$ indeed, the space-time metric being the Schwarzschild one in this exterior region, and, in particular, $A(0, \rho \geq \lambda) = \rho$, according to Eq. (3).

An appropriated election we could take for the arbitrary constant α seems to be $\alpha = m$, giving the maximum value for the ξ parameter, $\xi = 1$. In the next section we justify such an election from the study of the Weinberg energy associated with the present model.

6 Constraining the matched metric from the study of its *intrinsic* energy

In Ref. [33], we have studied the total *intrinsic* energy derived from the Weinberg complex prescription [11] for the general family of LTB-metrics, given by the line element in suitable Gauss coordinates

$$g_{_{LTB}} = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + \frac{A'^2}{1 - k(\rho)} d\rho \otimes d\rho + A^2 h, \quad A \equiv A(\tau, \rho) \tag{30}$$

in the frame of some particular void models constructed with this family. The function $k(\rho)$ appearing in (30) is related to the curvature of the proper time synchronization attached to the Gauss observers comoving with the dust fluid. In this case, and using comoving Gauss coordinates, the calculation of the Q^{i00} component of the Weinberg pseudotensor, $Q^{i00} = (\partial_i g - \partial_j g_{ij})/2$, with g_{ij} the three-space metric and $g \equiv \delta^{ij} g_{ij}$, gives (in accordance with Ref. [34])

$$Q^{i00} = -\frac{1}{\rho^2} \Big[\frac{(A - \rho A')^2}{\rho} + \frac{k \rho A'^2}{1 - k} \Big] n^i, \tag{31}$$

where $k \equiv k(\rho)$ and $n^i = n_i \equiv \rho_i/\rho$ stands for the unit vector associated with the asymptotic rectilinear coordinates ρ_i,

$$\rho_1 = \rho \sin\theta \cos\phi,\ \rho_2 = \rho \sin\theta \sin\phi,\ \rho_3 = \rho \cos\theta, \tag{32}$$

constructed from the starting $(\tau, \rho, \theta, \phi)$ comoving Gauss coordinates.

The divergence of Q^{i00}, $\partial_i Q^{i00}$, in asymptotically rectilinear Minkowskian coordinates provides the integrand for the spatial volume integral giving the *intrinsic* total energy, P^0 (see Refs. [11, 33] and the next paragraph for the corresponding definition). Here, we are dealing with the marginally bound collapse ($k = 0$), and in this case, the volume integral giving the Weinberg *intrinsic* energy P^0,

$$P^0 = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int \partial_i Q^{i00} d^3\rho \tag{33}$$

becomes

$$P^0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \Big[(A - \rho A')^2 \, \frac{n_i}{\rho^3} \Big] d\rho_1 d\rho_2 d\rho_3, \tag{34}$$

where we have taken 8π for the Einstein constant.

We point out that the expression (34), with the integrand $(A - \rho A')^2$, for P^0, has been calculated in *intrinsic* coordinates, that is comoving Gauss coordinates, adapted to the spherical symmetry of the metric, and to its asymptotically Minkowskian character (being *at rest* at the 3-space infinity with respect to the static Schwarzschild observers). Because of these *intrinsic* coordinate properties, according to Refs. [4, 33], the resulting energy P^0 will be called the *intrinsic* energy of the corresponding metric. We make the natural assumption that this *intrinsic* energy is the one which has to vanish in order that we can raise the question whether the corresponding metric could be quantically creatable from "nothing" (see for instance Refs. [35, 36]).

6.1 Calculating the *intrinsic* energy of the truncated matching metric

Then, let us calculate this *intrinsic* energy P^0 of the above truncated matching metric. Since now Q^{i00} is regular enough (its first derivatives are continuous everywhere, except for $\rho = 0$) we can apply the Gauss theorem to

the 3-volume in (34) and express it as a 2-surface integral on the boundary. More specifically this boundary will be made of two 2-surfaces, $\rho = +\infty$ and $\rho = \epsilon > 0$ where ϵ is a positive infinitesimal quantity. Then, we will take the limit $\epsilon \to 0$.

So, in an evident notation we will have

$$P^0 = P^0_\infty + \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} P^0_\epsilon, \tag{35}$$

with

$$P^0_\infty = \lim_{\rho\to+\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{S_\rho} Q \cos\theta d\theta d\phi = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\rho\to+\infty} Q \tag{36}$$

where the double integral is calculated on the 2-sphere of radius ρ, S_ρ, and

$$P^0_\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} Q|_{\rho=\epsilon}, \tag{37}$$

and where

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{\rho}(A - \rho A')^2. \tag{38}$$

To calculate easily the limit (36), notice that for $\rho > \lambda$ (and so for $\rho \to \infty$) our truncated metric is the Schwarzschild metric (4), that is, (5) with (6) and (7). Then an easy calculation gives for $\rho > \lambda$

$$Q = q^2 \frac{\tau^2}{\rho\Big(\tau - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\rho^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}\Big)^{2/3}}, \tag{39}$$

whose limit for $\rho \to \infty$ and τ fixed vanishes. Notice that we cannot put there $\tau \geq \psi(\rho = \lambda)$, since for this value of ρ the outer spherical shell of the star has just reached its own singularity and we have no more a classical object ruled by General Relativity. According to (27) this upper classical limit of τ is $\tau = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}} \equiv \tau_c$ (see Eq. (22)).

In all, the contribution P^0_∞ to the total P^0 vanishes and we are left with the other contribution $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} P^0_\epsilon$. Let us calculate it. First, according to (12) with $\varepsilon = -1$, we can write Q as

$$Q = \rho\Big(\frac{9M}{2(\tau - \psi)}\Big)^{2/3}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{M'}{M}\Big)(\tau - \psi) + \frac{2}{3}\psi'\Big]^2. \tag{40}$$

Then, we are going to calculate P^0 for $\tau < \psi(0)$ since, as already commented, for $\tau = \psi(0)$ the inner spherical shell of the star reaches the intrinsic singularity and full General Relativity is no more valid. But, according to (27), $\psi(\rho)$ is a growing function of ρ, which means that in (40) $\tau < \psi(\rho)$, $\forall\rho$. Thus, in order to calculate $\lim_{\rho\to 0} Q$, we only have to study how the functions M and M', present in (40), behave in this limit. To begin with, let us assume that in (26) we have $\alpha \neq m$. In such a case, having in mind (26) for $\rho < \lambda$, the limiting behaviour of M and M' when $\rho \to 0$ is

$$M \to m - \alpha \neq 0, \qquad M' \to \frac{6\alpha}{\lambda^2}\,\rho. \tag{41}$$

From this we can easily see that there is a unique term in Q, Eq. (40), the first one, which diverges as $1/\rho$ when $\rho \to 0$. All in all, in (35), the first summand, P^0_∞, vanishes, while the limit when $\epsilon \to 0$ of the second one, P^0_ϵ, of our "truncated matched metric" when $\alpha \neq m$ diverges. In all, P^0 diverges, which makes implausible the existence in Nature of the corresponding metric: have in mind that this metric corresponds initially to a regular finite physical system, more specifically to a finite self gravitating mass before reaching the last collapse stages, a gravitating mass that by no means should exhibit an infinite *intrinsic* energy.

Furthermore, in the parlance of Ref. [4], the "truncated matched metric" ($\alpha \neq m$) would be non "creatable" because of the non vanishing value of its *intrinsic* energy.

Contrarily to this, following the precedent reasoning in the present section, it is straightforward to see that the "truncated matched metric" with $\alpha = m$, that we will call henceforth the "simple matching metric", has a vanishing *intrinsic* energy, P^0, and so a finite one, that by itself would not be incompatible with the existence in Nature of the $\alpha = m$ metric (differently to the case $\alpha \neq m$ with, as we have seen, a divergent P^0). Then it could be a candidate to be quantically creatable from "nothing" [35, 36]. The functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ for the "simple matching metric" with $\alpha = m$ are represented in Figure 2.

Furthermore, it is easy to verify, following a similar way, that the generic matching metric has also a vanishing *intrinsic* energy, P^0, provided that we fit the coefficients M_k in (14) such that the resulting $M(\rho)$ series expansion begins at least with the quadratic power of ρ.



Figure 2: The functions $M(\rho)$ (top) and $\psi(\rho)$ (bottom) in the case of the simple ($\alpha = m$) matching metric for a marginally bound dust collapse model. Their first and second derivatives are continuous across the matching surface $\{\Sigma : \rho = \lambda\}$, ensuring the natural fulfillment of the Lichnerowicz matching conditions across Σ. Normalized variable, $\frac{\rho}{\lambda}$, and normalized functions, $\frac{M}{m}$ and $\Psi \equiv \frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\lambda^{3/2}}\psi$, are used.

Notice that there is no contradiction between this P^0 vanishing and the well known result $P^0 = m$ for the Schwarzschild matching metric in static coordinates, since we use here *intrinsic* coordinates (see Sec. 7 for further discussions).

6.2 Calculating the *intrinsic* energy of the Oppenheimer-Snyder metric

To finish the present section, let us now show that the Oppenheimer-Snyder (O-S) metric studied in Sec. 4 has a non-vanishing value for its *intrinsic* energy, differently to our "simple matching metric" ($\alpha = m$). The O-S metric would be, then, a non quantum "creatable" metric. We point out here this creativiness question only to remark how great can be the difference between metrics that do not fulfill the same matching conditions, despite the fact that all them fulfill the same evolving differential equations and share very similar sources.

The first thing to be noticed in order to calculate P^0, in the O-S case, is that now the integrand in the 3-volume integral of (33) is no more regular every where (besides the intrinsic singularity at $\tau = \psi(\rho)$), since as already remarked this metric does not match properly through the 3-surface $\rho = \lambda$. More specifically, the function A' is discontinuous at $\rho = \lambda$ according to (20).

Then, similarly to what has been done in the case of the "simple matching metric" we will cast the 3-volume integral in (33) to a 2-surface integral on the appropriate boundary, although now this boundary is made out of four 2-surfaces: the $\rho \to \infty$ and the $\rho \to 0$, plus two more ones, $\rho = \lambda + \epsilon$ and $\rho = \lambda - \epsilon$, with $\epsilon \to 0$ ($\epsilon > 0$). Therefore P^0 will be the sum of four different limit contributions, from four 2-surface integrals, that in an evident notation can be written as P^0_∞, P^0_ϵ, $P^0_{\lambda+\epsilon}$, $P^0_{\lambda-\epsilon}$.

The first contribution P^0_∞ vanishes again, since for $\rho > \lambda$ (and then for $\rho \to \infty$) the O-S metric becomes the exterior Schwarzschild metric, like in the precedent case of the "simple matching metric", and so, like in this case, we have $P^0_\infty = 0$.

The second contribution, P^0_ϵ, vanishes too, since according to (19), for $\rho < \lambda$ (and so for $\rho = \epsilon$ too), the corresponding A function is linear in ρ,

which means that Q in (38) vanishes identically, except for $\tau = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}} \equiv \tau_c$. But, for the O-S metric, τ_c is the time of the simultaneous collapse, such that we only have to consider times $\tau < \tau_c$ if we want to remain in the classical frame of General Relativity.

Then, we are left with the remaining contributions coming from $P^0_{\lambda+\epsilon}$ and $P^0_{\lambda-\epsilon}$, that is

$$P^0 = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(P^0_{\lambda+\epsilon} + P^0_{\lambda-\epsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(Q|_{\lambda+\epsilon} - Q|_{\lambda-\epsilon}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}[Q]_\Sigma \tag{42}$$

Having in mind (38) and using the fact that A is continuous through $\rho = \lambda$, we obtain

$$P^0 = \Big(\frac{\lambda}{2}\,[A'^2]_\Sigma - A(\tau, \rho = \lambda)[A']_\Sigma\Big), \tag{43}$$

and using (20) and (23) one finally obtains

$$P^0 = \frac{q^2}{2\lambda}\,\frac{\tau^2}{(\tau - \tau_c)^{2/3}}, \tag{44}$$

for $\tau < \tau_c$, as we have explained before.

Thus, for the O-S metric, the *intrinsic* energy P^0 does not vanish. Further, it depends on τ and grows without limit when τ approaches τ_c. Needless to say that these properties do not seem good news for the physical character of the O-S metric, since this is the asymptotically Minkowskian metric of a finite system (m and λ are finite) which remains isolated, neither absorbing, nor emitting, gravitational or electromagnetic radiation, and further without accreting or expelling any matter. Actually, because of these characteristics, the *intrinsic* P^0 value for the O-S metric would be expected to be finite and to remain constant. This is just what happens to our "simple matching metric" for instance, its *intrinsic* P^0 being zero. In this way, the physical character of this last metric would be enhanced. Therefore, and according to our point of view, the O-S metric would not be a good metric candidate to actually exist in Nature, but the "simple matching metric" could in principle be such a candidate.

7 Discussion

In the book by Hawking and Ellis [37], p. 58, the authors assume that a suitable space-time would be represented by a $\mathcal{C}^2$ class differentiable manifold in accordance with a common point of view on the subject (see details in Ref. [1]). But at the same time, those authors reduce the importance of the assumption made by making the following comment "In fact, the order of differentiability of the metric is probably not physically significant. Since one can never measure the metric exactly, ...".

However, the results of our calculations in the present paper show that the consequences of imposing the Lichnerowicz matching conditions are far of being minor consequences. On the contrary, imposing them we have passed from the known O-S metric, that does not satisfy these conditions, to another metric, "the simple matching metric", sensibly different either locally or globally. Thus, for instance, this "simple matching metric" has a vanishing *intrinsic* energy, P^0, while P^0 for the O-S metric does not vanish, depending on time τ and growing up indefinitely when τ approaches the essential singularity. As commented at the end of the previous section, and letting aside the rough assumption of a stellar collapse with an everywhere vanishing pressure, this makes the existence in Nature of the O-S metric very implausible. However, this physical difficulty would not be present in the case of the 'simple matching metric" since it has a finite intrinsic energy (more specifically, a vanishing one).

The concept of the energy P^0 of a metric, as derived from an energy momentum complex (the Weinberg one in our case), is not always considered a physical quantity, but is always considered as such for asymptotic Minkowskian metrics, in the appropriated coordinates. All the metrics studied in the present paper are asymptotic Minkowskian metrics, in particular the "simple matching metric" and the O-S ones. So, in our case, P^0, although coordinate dependent (as it could not otherwise be), should be considered in principle as some kind of physical energy in some precise sense. As it is well known, for asymptotic Minkowskian metrics, P^0, when calculated in asymptotic Lorentzian coordinates, is the time component of a Minkowskian linear 4-momentum vector. However, what we have calculated here is the *intrinsic* value of P^0 which, by definition, is not calculated in

asymptotic Lorentzian coordinates, but in *intrinsic* coordinates: the spherical symmetrical coordinates associated to a congruence of everywhere free falling observers, comoving with the in falling pressureless matter, at rest at the 3-space infinity. As a result, the *intrinsic* value of P^0 is no more the time component of a Minkowski 4-vector. But this does not change the fact that this *intrinsic* energy remains a total conserved quantity inside the which its different parts, the relativistic kinetic energy of the matter and the gravity contribution, enter in a mutual balance. This kind of balance is constitutive of the definition of total energy, either in the present case or in another similar one of the rest of classical physics. Here the balance is ensured by the conservation of the (Weinberg) energy-momentum complex, that is by the vanishing of its ordinary divergence. In all, our *intrinsic* energy P^0 is a physical energy, in the above *intrinsic* coordinates, that as such should remain finite and constant for any metric whose source be an isolated, finite, regular, mass distribution, as it is initially the kind of mass distributions considered in the present paper. See again the last paragraph of subsection 6.2 in relation to this.

To finish this section, we find that our present work allows us to select some solutions as mathematically and physically significant from a large family of metric solutions of Einstein field equations, i.e., the pressureless LTB solutions for a finite mass. The selection criterium is double: fulfillment of good matching conditions, and asking for a finite, stationary value of the corresponding intrinsic energy.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad", MICINN-FEDER project FIS2015-64552-P. Useful discussions with José A. Font are gratefully recognized.

A Lichnerowicz matching conditions and dust collapse

For the subclass of the LTB family of dust metric given by (5), the Lichnerowicz matching conditions guarantee that the metric coefficients A and A' are functions of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ by imposing the nullity of the following Hadamard discontinuities across the matching 3-surface $\{\Sigma : \rho = \lambda\}$:

$$[A]_\Sigma = [A']_\Sigma = [A'']_\Sigma = 0, \tag{45}$$

from which the new equalities $[\dot{A}]_\Sigma = [\dot{A}']_\Sigma = 0$ follow.

This appendix is devoted to establish the following result:

Let it be a LTB metric (5) describing a spherically symmetric dust in parabolic evolution, and let us consider the matching with the exterior Schwarzschild metric (4). The Lichnerowicz matching conditions (45) are satisfied on the matching surface $\{\Sigma : \rho = \lambda\}$ if, and only if, the free functions $M(\rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ (providing the function $A(\tau, \rho)$ from (6)) and their derivatives are subject to the following conditions:

$$[M]_\Sigma = [\psi]_\Sigma = [M']_\Sigma = [\psi']_\Sigma = 0, \tag{46}$$

and

$$[M'']_\Sigma = \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\Big(\frac{2M}{A}\Big)^{3/2}_{|\Sigma}\,[\psi'']_\Sigma. \tag{47}$$

where the proportionality factor between the discontinuities of the second derivatives in (47) is calculated on Σ, by putting $M(\lambda) = m$ and $A(\tau, \lambda) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{9}{2}m[\tau - \psi(\lambda)]^2}$, with $\psi(\lambda) = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2m}}$ (see Eq. (7)).

In order to prove this result, let us write the first derivatives of the function A,

$$\dot{A} = \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}}, \tag{48}$$

$$A' = \frac{1}{3}\frac{M'}{M}\,A - \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}}\,\psi', \tag{49}$$

and express the second derivatives in this convenient way:

$$\ddot{A} = -\frac{M}{A^2}, \tag{50}$$

$$\dot{A}' = \varepsilon \frac{2}{3} \frac{M'}{\sqrt{2M}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} + \frac{M}{A^2}\, \psi', \tag{51}$$

$$A'' = \frac{1}{3}\Big(\frac{M''}{M}\, A - \frac{M'^2}{M^2}\, A + \frac{M'}{M}\, A' \Big) - \dot{A}'\, \psi' - \dot{A} \psi''. \tag{52}$$

Then, from Eqs. (6) and (48) we have:

$$[A] = [\dot{A}] = 0 \iff [M] = [\psi] = 0, \tag{53}$$

where, from now on, the Hadamard discontinuities will be written without subscript.

On the other hand, from Eqs. (49) and (51), taking into account (48) and (53) the following relations must be satisfied:

$$[A'] = \frac{1}{3} \frac{A}{M} [M'] - \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}} [\psi'], \tag{54}$$

$$[\dot{A}'] = \varepsilon \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2MA}} [M'] + \frac{M}{A^2} [\psi']. \tag{55}$$

The homogeneous linear system $[A'] = 0$ and $[\dot{A}'] = 0$ in the two unknown $[M']$ and $[\psi']$ has non vanishing determinant $1/A \neq 0$, and then, its sole solution is the trivial one, $[M'] = [\psi'] = 0$. Consequently:

$$[A'] = [\dot{A}'] = 0 \iff [M'] = [\psi'] = 0. \tag{56}$$

Now, from Eq. (52), and taking into account that (53) and (56) ensures that M and ψ are function of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ in the whole domain of the used Gauss coordinates, we obtain for the discontinuities of the second derivatives the relation:

$$[A''] = \frac{1}{3} \frac{A}{M}\, [M''] - \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2M}{A}} [\psi]'', \tag{57}$$

and the condition given by Eq. (47) follows:

$$[A''] = 0 \iff [M''] = \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon \Big(\frac{2M}{A} \Big)^{3/2}_{|\Sigma}\, [\psi''], \tag{58}$$

where we must take $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$ for a expanding or collapsing scenario, respectively. Further, since (58) must remain valid for any value of τ

(present in the A function), the only way that (58) can be fulfilled is having both $[M''] = [\psi''] = 0$.

By construction, for the "generic matching metric" analyzed in Sec. 4, one has conveniently taken $[M''] = [\psi''] = 0$, ensuring directly from (57) that $[A''] = 0$.

Finally, since $[A] = 0$, it must be $[\ddot{A}] = 0$, with is consistent with (50).

References

[1] A. Lichnerowicz, *Théories relativistes de la gravitation et de l'électromagnétisme* (Masson Éd. Paris, 1955).

[2] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **56**, 455 (1939).

[3] R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales–Lladosa, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **44**, 367 (2012).

[4] R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales–Lladosa, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **45**, 1145 (2013)

[5] J. M. Aguirregabiria, R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales–Lladosa, J.A. Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **46**, 1744 (2014).

[6] J. J. Ferrando, R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 124003 (2007).

[7] R. Lapiedra and D. Sáez, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 104011 (2008).

[8] P. I. Fomin, *Gravitational instability of vacuum and the cosmological problem*. Preprint ITP-73-137P (1973). English version printed in *Problems of Atomic Science and Technology* 2013, N3 (85). Series: Nuclear Physics Investigations (60), p.6-9. A published version of this preprint appeared in Dok. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **9A**, 831 (1975).

[9] E. P. Tryon, Nature **246**, 396 (1973).

[10] C. R. Misner, K. R. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Friedman and Company, San Francisco,1973).

[11] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, London, 1972).

[12] D. M. Eardley and L. Smarr, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2239 (1979).

[13] J. S. Firouzjaee and G. R. F. Ellis, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **47**, 6 (2015).

[14] B. Datt, Zeitschrift fur Physics **108**, 314 (1938). English translation, with historial comments: *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **31**, 1615 (1999)

[15] J. Plebański and A. Krasiński, *An Introduction to General Relativity and Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2006)

[16] H. Sthephani, D. Kramer, M. Maccallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions to Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge University Press, cambridge, 2003).

[17] P. S. Joshi and D. Malafarina, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20**, 2641 (2011). See also arXiv:1201.3660.

[18] G. Acquaviva, G. F. R. Ellis, R., Goswami and I. M. Hamid, I.M. Phys. Rev. D **91**, 064017 (2015).

[19] P. D. Lasky *A Unified Treatment of Gravitational Collapse in General Relativity* (Ph. Thesis, Monash University 2007).

[20] P. S. Joshi, D. Malafarina and R. Narayan, Class Quantum Grav. **31**, 015002 (2014).

[21] A. Das, A. DeBenedictis and N. Tariq, J. Math. Phys. **44**, 5637 (2003).

[22] G. Lemaître, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles A **53**, 5 (1933). English translation, with historical comments: Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **29**, 637 (1997).

[23] R. C. Tolman, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **20**, 169 (1934). Reprinted, with historial comments in Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **29**, 935 (1997).

[24] H. Bondi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. **107**, 410 (1949). Reprinted, with historial comments in Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **31**, 1777 (1999).

[25] J. L. Synge, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sec. A **53**, 83 (1950).

[26] H. P. Robertson and T. W. Noonan *Relativity and Cosmology* (W. B. Saunders Company,1969) p.250.

[27] I. D. Novikov, Communications of the Shternberg State Astronomical Institute, **132** (3) 3-42 (1964). English translation, with historical comments: Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **33**, 2255 (2001).

[28] B. Coll, J. J. Ferrando and J. A. Morales-Lladosa, Found. Phys. **39**, 1280 (2009).

[29] B. Coll, J. J. Ferrando and J. A. Morales-Lladosa, Phys. Rev. D 80, 064038 (2009).

[30] J. Hadamard, *Leçons sur la propagation des ondes et les équations de l'hydrodynamique* (Librairie Scientifique A. Hermann, Paris,1903).

[31] W. B. Bonnor and P. A. Vickers, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **13**, 29 (1981).

[32] G. Darmois, Mémorial des Sciences Mathématiques, fascicule XXV. *Les équations de la gravitation einstenienne*. (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1927)

[33] R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales–Lladosa, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064033 (2014).

[34] K. S. Virbhadra, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 104041 (1999).

[35] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 2848 (1983).

[36] A. Vilenkin, AIP Conf. Proc. **478**, 23 (1999).

[37] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of the space-time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).